

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/020,019	12/07/2001	Francesco Pessolano	NL 000667	8972	
24737	4737 7590 04/21/2005		EXAMINER		
	PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			BUEHL, BRETT J	
	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2183			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/020,019	PESSOLANO ET AL.				
Off	fice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brett J Buehl	2183				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Respo	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 February 2005 and 01 April 2005.						
, —	2a)☑ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
· ·	,—						
closed	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-11,13 and 14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-11,13 and 14</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 December 2001</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.							
Applica	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
1 .	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
* .							
Attachment(s)							
	erences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	Mail Date	6) Other:	··· ,				
U.S. Patent and Trademark C PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04		ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20030629				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-3, 5-11 and 13-14 have been examined. Claims 4, 12 and 15 have been canceled by the Applicant.

Papers Submitted

2. It is hereby acknowledged that the following papers have been received and placed on record in the file: Amendment as received on 2/9/05, and RCE as received on 4/1/05.

Withdrawn Rejections

3. Applicant, via amendment, has overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections set forth in the previous Office Action. Consequently, the examiner has withdrawn these rejections.

Maintained Rejections

4. Applicant has failed to overcome the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection as set forth in the previous Office Action. Therefore, these rejections are respectfully maintained by the examiner and copied below for applicant's convenience.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 5 recites the limitation "Apparatus according to <u>any one of claims 2"</u> in line 1 of the claim. Please amend the claim language to read, "An apparatus according to claim 2" in order to be more grammatically correct.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,019 Page 3

Art Unit: 2183

Maintained Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. Claim 14 recite the limitation, "An apparatus according to claim 10", in the first line of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim, as the claim depends from a method claim. Therefore, the claim will be interpreted as reciting the limitation "A method according to claim 10" for the purpose of this office action.

Maintained Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2183

10.

Art Ollit. 2165

White et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,632,023, hereinafter referred to as White. It is noted that the

"Intel Architecture Software Developer's Manual, Volume 2: Instruction Set Reference" is used

Claims 1-3, 5-11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Page 4

to further explain teachings in White. These references will not be provided since they were

previously relied upon.

11. Regarding claim 1, White has taught a digital signal processing apparatus for executing a

plurality of operations, comprising a plurality of functional units [235, 240, 245, 260 and 265 of

Figure 2B] wherein each functional unit is adapted to execute operations [It is inherent that these

functional units execute operations], and control means for controlling said functional units,

characterized in that said control means comprises a fetch unit [230 of Figure 2A], a decode unit

[210 of Figure 2A], and a plurality of control units [235R, 240R, 245R, 260R and 265R of

Figure 2B] responsive to said decode unit, wherein at least one control unit is operatively

associated with a respective functional unit for controlling its function [Each reservation station

receives decoded operations from the Decode Unit and issues the instructions to its associated

functional unit when all operands are available (col. 11, lines 36-47), thereby controlling its

function], including controlling a number of repetitions of execution of its function [Each

reservation station holds the instructions for its respective functional unit until the instruction

operands become available (col. 11, lines 36-47). Once the operands are available, the

reservation station issues the instruction to the functional unit. Each instruction issued to the

functional unit causes one repetition of execution of the functional unit's function. The number

of repetitions of execution is equal to the aggregate number of instructions sent to the functional

unit by the respective reservation station, since each instruction is one repetition. Therefore,

Art Unit: 2183

since the reservation station controls when the instruction will be issued to the functional unit, the reservation station controls the number of repetitions of execution of its function through the issuance of instructions to the functional unit.], and each functional unit is adapted to execute operations in an autonomous manner under control of the control unit associated therewith [Each and all of the functional units operate on a separate instruction under control of the reservation station associated thereto, meaning each and all of the functional units operate in an autonomous manner].

- 12. Regarding claim 2, White has taught an apparatus according to claim 1, characterized by FIFO (first-in/first-out) register means adapted for supporting data-flow communication among said functional units [285 of Figure 2A, the Reorder Buffer is a FIFO register (register meaning a device capable of retaining information of the aggregate information in a digital computer) device which is utilized by all of the functional units to support data-flow, in that the functional units can use the data before it is committed to the register file].
- 13. Regarding claim 3, White has taught a digital signal processing apparatus for executing a plurality of operations, comprising a plurality of functional units [235, 240, 245, 260 and 265 of Figure 2B] wherein each functional unit is adapted to execute operations [It is inherent that each functional unit is adapted to execute operations], and control means for controlling said functional units in coordination with one another [235R, 240R, 245R, 260R and 265R of Figure 2B, each reservation station receives decoded operations from the Decode Unit and issues the instructions to its associated functional unit when all operands are available (col. 11, lines 36-47), thereby controlling its function] in response to a single fetch unit [230 of Figure 2A] and a single decode unit [210 of Figure 2A], characterized by FIFO (first-in/first-out) register means

Art Unit: 2183

adapted for supporting data-flow communication among said functional units [285 of Figure 2A, the Reorder Buffer is a FIFO register (register meaning a device capable of retaining information of the aggregate information in a digital computer) device which is utilized by all of the functional units to support data-flow, in that the functional units can use the data before it is committed to the register file].

- 14. Regarding claim 5, White has taught an apparatus according to claim 2, characterized in that said FIFO register means comprises a plurality of FIFO registers [285 of Figure 2A, the FIFO reorder buffer contains a plurality of entries, each being a FIFO register in that they are registers of the FIFO (register meaning a device capable of retaining information of the aggregate information in a digital computer)].
- 15. Regarding claim 6, White has taught an apparatus according to claim 1, characterized in that each of said functional units are provided with at least one control unit [235R, 240R, 245R, 260R and 265R of Figure 2B, each reservation station receives decoded operations from the Decode Unit and issues the instructions to its associated functional unit when all operands are available (col. 11, lines 36-47), thereby controlling its function].
- 16. Regarding claim 7, White has taught an apparatus according to claim 1, which apparatus is adapted to form a pipeline consisting of a plurality of stages, wherein each stage comprises a functional unit [The apparatus of White is a pipeline processor (superscalar pipeline), see col. 24, lines 36-44. Each stage of the pipeline has a unit that carries out a function, making it a functional unit.].
- 17. Regarding claim 8, White has taught an apparatus according to claim 1, characterized in that for each control unit an instruction register and a counter are provided, wherein said counter

Page 7

indicates the number of times an instruction stored in said instruction register has to be executed by the corresponding functional unit [White has taught the use of the x86 instruction set on his processor (col. 9, lines 24-26), which includes the LOOP/LOOPcc instruction. The Intel Architecture Software Developer's Manual states "these instructions perform a loop operation using the ECX or CX register as a counter." (Page 3-372) Each functional unit has access to these registers, therefore each is provided with a counter. The instruction register is the reservation station of each functional unit, which holds the loop instruction while the ECX or CX register indicates the number of times to execute the instruction.].

- 18. Regarding claim 9, White has taught an apparatus according to claim 1, further comprising a program memory means storing a main program [302 of Figure 2B], characterized in that said main program contains directives for instructing said control units [It is inherent that the main program contains directives for instructing the control units].
- 19. Regarding claim 10, White has taught a method for processing digital signals in a digital signal processing apparatus, comprising a plurality of functional units [235, 240, 245, 260 and 265 of Figure 2B] wherein each functional unit is adapted to execute operations [It is inherent that the functional units execute operations], characterized in that said functional units are controlled by control means including a single fetch unit [230 of Figure 2A], a single decode unit [210 of Figure 2A] and a plurality of control units [235R, 240R, 245R, 260R and 265R of Figure 2B, each reservation station receives decoded operations from the Decode Unit and issues the instructions to its associated functional unit when all operands are available (col. 11, lines 36-47), thereby controlling its function] wherein at least one control unit is operatively associated with a respective unit [Each and all of the functional units have a reservation station] so that each

Art Unit: 2183

functional unit is able to execute operations in an autonomous manner under control of the control unit associated therewith [Each and all of the functional units operate on a separate instruction under control of the reservation station associated thereto, meaning each and all of the functional units operate in an autonomous manner], the control unit controlling a number of repetitions of execution of its associated functional unit [Each reservation station holds the instructions for its respective functional unit until the instruction operands become available (col. 11, lines 36-47). Once the operands are available, the reservation station issues the instruction to the functional unit. Each instruction issued to the functional unit causes one repetition of execution of the functional unit's function. The number of repetitions of execution is equal to the aggregate number of instructions sent to the functional unit by the respective reservation station, since each instruction is one repetition. Therefore, since the reservation station controls when the instruction will be issued to the functional unit, the reservation station controls the number of repetitions of execution of its function through the issuance of instructions to the functional unit.]

Page 8

- 20. Regarding claim 11, White has taught an apparatus according to claim 9, characterized in that data-flow communication among said functional units is supported by FIFO (first-in/first-out) register means [285 of Figure 2A, the Reorder Buffer is a FIFO register (register meaning a device capable of retaining information of the aggregate information in a digital computer) device which is utilized by all of the functional units to support data-flow, in that the functional units can use the data before it is committed to the register file].
- 21. Regarding claim 13, White has taught an apparatus according to claim 10, wherein a pipeline consisting of a plurality of stages is provided, and each stage is executed by a functional

Art Unit: 2183

unit [The apparatus of White is a pipeline processor (superscalar pipeline), see Table 1, and the functional units form the execution stage, see Figures 2A&B].

22. Regarding claim 14, White has taught an apparatus according to claim 10, characterized in that the number of times an instruction stored has to be executed by a functional unit is counted by the corresponding control unit [White has taught the use of the x86 instruction set on his processor (col. 9, lines 24-26), which includes the LOOP/LOOPcc instruction. The Intel Architecture Software Developer's Manual states "these instructions perform a loop operation using the ECX or CX register as a counter." (Page 3-372) Each functional unit has access to these registers, therefore each is provided with a counter. The instruction register is the reservation station of each functional unit, which holds the loop instruction while the ECX or CX register indicates the number of times to execute the instruction. The functional unit control counts the number of times the instruction executes in that it issues the instruction following the LOOP instruction, i.e. it no longer loops, when the count gets to zero.]

Response to Remarks

- 23. Applicant's arguments filed 2/9/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 24. Applicant argues the novelty/rejection of claims 1 and 10, and 2-3 and 11, in substance that:
 - "...the execution units (at least some of the execution units) operate under both global and local control, including local control of a number of repetitions of execution of a functional unit. No such arrangement is believed to be taught or suggested by White."

Art Unit: 2183

"In White, the 'control unit' (reservation stations) do not control of a number of repetitions of execution of a functional unit."

"There is no indication in White that the Reorder Buffer of White is a FIFO register."

Page 10

- 25. These arguments are not found persuasive for the following reasons:
 - a. As recited in the claim, the global control unit is comprised of a fetch unit and decode unit, which are clearly taught by White. These units control all of the functional units by fetching and decoding the instructions that are to be executed. Without these instructions the functional units cannot operate, therefore the fetch and decode units control the functional units, globally, by fetching and decoding their instructions.
 - b. As for the local control, each functional unit has a respective reservation station, which is responsive to the decode unit in that it receives instructions from the decode unit. The reservation station controls the number of repetitions of execution of the functional units function by issuing instructions to the functional unit. Each instruction causes one repetition of execution of its function, therefore, the reservation station controls the number of repetitions of its execution since it is the device that issues the instructions to the functional unit.
 - c. The reorder buffer of White is a FIFO buffer (col. 11, lines 21-22) with a plurality of entries. Given that the definition of a register is "a device capable of retaining information of the aggregate information in a digital computer", the FIFO buffer is a FIFO register means. Each entry is considered an individual register as it holds a separate piece of data. Since each register (i.e. entry in the buffer) is part of a FIFO, it is

Art Unit: 2183

a FIFO register. In other words, each register is a FIFO register since it is part of a FIFO register means.

Conclusion

26. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

27. Inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brett J. Buehl who can be reached at (571) 272-4161. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours 9:00am – 5:30pm (EST), Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Chan, can be reached at (571) 272-4162. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2183

28. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brett J. Buehl Patent Examiner Art Unit 2183

EDDIE CHAN
SUPERVISCAY PATENT EXAMINER
TEURNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 12